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NWChem

- NWChem is *ab initio* Quantum Chemistry package
- Compute electronic structure of molecular systems
- PNNL home: http://www.nwchem-sw.org
### Coupled Cluster Engines in NWChem

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Basis Set</th>
<th>Rendell’s CCSD</th>
<th>TCE CCSD Tilesize=10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GC-dDMP cc-pVDZ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nocc=150, Nvir=563</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3200 cores (100 nodes)</td>
<td>5.4 hours</td>
<td>Did not fit in aggregate memory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9600 cores (300 nodes)</td>
<td>3.5 hours</td>
<td>+8 hours (did not finish)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12800 cores (400 nodes)</td>
<td></td>
<td>+8 hours (did not finish)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Rendell’s CCSD(T) code is computationally more efficient than TCE CCSD(T) for closed-shell systems
- Chemistry has lots of computational problems that need closed-shell CCSD(T)
- Interesting problems in chemistry push methods to treat larger sizes
NWChem 6.1 - Scaling on Blue Waters

CCSD 1-iter
Gua-Gua stack, 6-311++G**, 554 basis functions, Cray DMAP GA/ARMCI
Larger input sizes utilize the machine more efficiently
Perturbative triples (T) scale much better than singles and doubles CCSD
Perturbative Triples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th># nodes</th>
<th># cores</th>
<th>Time, sec</th>
<th>Efficiency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>9117.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>160,000</td>
<td>5024.4</td>
<td>45%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- GC-dDMP, 6-311++G**, 1042 basis functions
- Larger problem sizes could be efficiently computed
Known PetaFLOP reports for NWChem on (T)

- **0.487 PF**; scaling to **96,000** cores; E. Apra, et al, 2009, SC09: \((H_2O)_{20}\), 1020 basis functions, CCSD(T)
- Performance not reported; scaling to **210,000** cores; K. Kowalski, et al, 2011, SC11: Porphyrins, 780 basis functions, EOM-CCSD(T)
- **1.184 PF**; scaling to **160,000** cores; NCSA BW 2013, GC-dDMP, 1042 basis functions

Finally, NWChem reached 1 PF !!!
NWChem Sustained Petaflop Performance

Previous results do not qualify for SPP due to benchmarking a portion of the run. On BW, SPP is higher than even best previous (T)-only results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GC-dDMP, 6-311++G**</th>
<th>BW XE6 value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wall clock time of CCSD job (1,000 nodes)</td>
<td>5396.4 sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time of single CCSD iteration</td>
<td>4699.0 sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of initialization, termination, and I/O</td>
<td>697.3 sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of CCSD iterations</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wall clock time of (T) job (5,000 nodes)</td>
<td>9117.8 sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total time to solution, CCSD(T)</td>
<td>24852.2 sec (7 hours)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance per node on BW</td>
<td>47.9 GF/sec/node</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggregate performance on 5,000 nodes (80,000 cores)</td>
<td>0.239 PF/sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggregate performance on 20,000 nodes (160,000 cores)</td>
<td><strong>0.627 PF/sec</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kraken</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nodes</td>
<td>200 nodes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cores</td>
<td>2400 cores</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GF/core</td>
<td>2.7 GF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GF/node</td>
<td>32.4 GF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time, 1 CCSD iteration</td>
<td>2534.7 sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time, triples (T)</td>
<td>9812.9 sec</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 BW node (16 cores) corresponds to 3.8 Kraken nodes (12 cores)
1 BW core corresponds to 2.8 Kraken cores
Profiling: CCSD kernels

Test: Gua-Gua stack 713 basis functions, 9600 cores

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CCSD routines</th>
<th>Aggregate time, sec</th>
<th>Times called by each core</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ga_nbget()</td>
<td>4794.65</td>
<td>108545.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>idx1_wrk1</td>
<td>13.65</td>
<td>108039.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t2eri</td>
<td>4887.38</td>
<td>5.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>schwarz</td>
<td>2.84</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCSD-iter</td>
<td>10031.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **ga_nbget()** consumes 48% of total time; 0.044 seconds per call
- **Problem**: the parallelization model of global data distribution hits the wall (all-to-all communications do not scale with machine size)
- **Solution**: get rid of the most critical ST2 distributed global array and keep the necessary data locally in each node
NWChem CCSD Efficiency

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th># nodes</th>
<th># cores</th>
<th>Time, sec</th>
<th>Efficiency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Official code</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>6734.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>4329.2</td>
<td>78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BW code</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>4699.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>1362.2</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Local ST2 array – 44GB per node (compare: BW 64GB, Titan 32GB)
- 3-fold speedup on GC-dDMP due to data localization
Sample NWChem CCSD Input

start gc-ddmp
memory stack 2000 mb heap 200 mb global 1000 mb
...
set ccsd:useinmemst2 T New input options in bold
set ccsd:st2parallel T
task ccsd energy

Running GC-dDMP CCSD job
aprun -n 1000 -N 1
Sample NWChem PBS Script

#PBS -l nodes=1000:ppn=32:xe
cd $PBS_O_WORKDIR
source /opt/modules/default/init/bash
module add craype-hugepages8M

# mandatory environment variables for congestion protection
export ARMCI_DMAPP_LOCK_ON_GET=1
export ARMCI_DMAPP_LOCK_ON_PUT=1
export APRUN_BALANCED_INJECTION=63

# MP2 or (T) computation
aprun -n 1000 -N 16 -d2 /u/staff/anisimov/nw61-20130220-cray/bin/
  LINUX64/nwchem flops.nw > job.out
Things to Watch in the Job Output

**Throttling** is a system-wide Gemini network slow-down event to prevent data loss due to communication congestion.

Evidence of Application throttling the High Speed Network fabric can be found at the end of your job output

Application 122336 **network throttled**: 5000 nodes throttled, 58:05:33 node-seconds

Application 122336 balanced injection 100, after throttle 63

Application 122336 resources: utime ~736228827s, stime ~1730307s

To prevent throttling make sure your PBS script includes

```bash
export ARMCI_DMAPP_LOCK_ON_GET=1
export ARMCI_DMAPP_LOCK_ON_PUT=1
export APRUN_BALANCED_INJECTION=63 | set to smaller value, if necessary
```
Throttling Emergency Manual

• Stop running your application until the cause of throttling is identified and the fix is applied
• Contact you Point-of-Contact or send request to help+bw@ncsa.illinois.edu asking for assistance
• Consider adding BI API calls (C-library) in your code
• Set a smaller value APRUN_BALANCED_INJECTION=33
• Use fewer nodes
• Choose the job placement on 3D torus
Cray 3D Torus Topology

- Periodic box in 3D
- XK nodes – red
- Service nodes – blue
- Compute nodes – gray
- Slower – Y, X, Z – Faster
- Routing X, then Y, then Z
- Routing path depends on application placement on 3D torus
Why My Jobs Run Slow - Performance Variation

NWChem: Geometry Optimization: MP2/6-311++G**, 2098 basis functions

- Discuss your aprun options with support staff
- BW scale is unprecedented – Use advanced job placement

1-cycle time: 4033.6 sec
Exact Hostlist Node-Allocation

#!/bin/bash
#PBS -j oe
#PBS -l nodes=1000:ppn=32
#PBS -l walltime=14:00:00
#PBS -l hostlist=1824+... 5079+5082+5083+5084+5085+5086+5087^
cd $PBS_O_WORKDIR
source /opt/modules/default/init/bash
checkjob ${PBS_JOBID} > nodelist.${PBS_JOBID}
aprun -n 16000 -N 16 -d 2 ./a.out > job.out

Adaptive documentation:
http://docs.adaptivecomputing.com/mwm/Content/topics/resourceManagers/rmextensions.html#hostlist
Performance Optimization on 3D Torus

- 1000-node job – yellow
- Nice performance improvement
- Throttling still happens because of interaction with other jobs
Throttling-Free Placement on 3D Torus

- No throttling is observed
- Best performance near to that on dedicated system
- Variations still exist but the magnitude is small
Further Reading on Cray 3D Torus Topology

When to Approach for Help

- **Help Lines:**
  - Phone (217) 244-6689
  - Online chat via BW Portal bluewaters.ncsa.illinois.edu
  - Email help+bw@ncsa.illinois.edu
  - Online access to Jira ticket system via BW Portal

- Issues with authentication
- Jobs not running
- How to submit jobs on Blue Waters (XE, XK, bulldozer cores)
- Application fail to compile
- How to use the available resources efficiently
- Need help to improve application performance