BW

MACHINE LEARNING FOR PARTICLE PHYSICS: EMPLOYING DEEP LEARNING FOR PARTICLE IDENTIFICATION AND MEASUREMENT **AT COLLIDERS**

Allocation: Illinois/125 Knh PI: Benjamin Hooberman¹ Co-PI: Amir Farbin² Collaborator: Matt Zhang

¹University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign ²University of Texas at Arlington

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Large Hadron Collider (LHC) at CERN, in Switzerland, is the world's most powerful particle accelerator. The LHC recreates the conditions of the Universe one tenth of a nanosecond after the Big Bang by colliding together protons traveling at 0.99999997 times the speed of light 40 million times every second. Each proton–proton collision creates up to several hundred particles that pass through one of four detectors situated at the LHC interaction points.

Reconstructing the collisions requires identifying these particles using their signatures in the detector. Recent advances in machine learning and artificial intelligence, known as deep learning, have made it possible to apply learning networks to many kinds of problems. In particular, identifying particles from their energy deposition in calorimeter cells bears a strong resemblance to problems in machine vision, in which objects are reconstructed from intensity values in pixel arrays. The research team has exploited deep learning techniques to identify and measure particles produced at colliders and have found that they provide improvements in performance with respect to conventional methods.

RESEARCH CHALLENGE

The LHC recreates the conditions of the Universe a tenth of a second after the Big Bang by colliding together high-energy protons. In 2012, the Higgs boson was discovered in LHC data, completing the Standard Model of particle physics and leading to the Nobel Prize in Physics in 2013. This discovery transformed our understanding of the building blocks of matter and the fundamental forces by explaining the origin of the masses of subatomic particles.

However, the Standard Model is not capable of resolving key open questions and thus cannot be the final theory of nature. In particular, it cannot explain the origin of dark matter, which comprises about five times as much total mass in the Universe as visible matter but whose nature is not understood. Various beyond-the-standard-model scenarios, including supersymmetry and extra dimensions of spacetime, have been posited to resolve these problems. These scenarios generically predict the existence of exotic new particles, which may be produced at LHC. Searching for these particles to understand the nature of physics beyond



Figure 1: Signal vs. background efficiency receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves for (left) photon vs. neutral pion and (right) electron vs. charged pion discrimination, using a boosted decision tree and a cell-based or feature-based deep neural network.

the Standard Model is now the highest priority of the LHC physics program and the focus of this project.

Analyzing LHC data to search for physics beyond the Standard Model requires identifying and measuring the particles produced in proton-proton collisions. Particles produced in collisions traverse detectors, depositing their energy in calorimeters consisting of a granular array of detecting elements (pixels). The resulting image can be analyzed to distinguish among the six species of stable particles (electrons, photons, charged hadrons, neutral hadrons, and muons) and infer their energies. Electrons and photons are expected signatures of a wide variety of interesting new physics scenarios but may be mimicked by charged and neutral hadrons, which are produced at rates that are higher by several orders of magnitude. Since each collision contains typically thousands of particles, discriminating signals from electrons and photons from hadronic backgrounds is complicated by the presence of additional overlapping particles. Identifying and measuring electrons and photons, especially those with low energy, is thus a major challenge of high-energy physics.

METHODS & CODES

Recent advances in machine learning and artificial intelligence, the conventional methods. These results serve as a first step toknown as deep learning, have made it possible to apply learning ward implementing deep learning for particle identification and networks to many kinds of problems. These techniques are driven measurement at the LHC. by the emergence of large data sets, powerful graphical processing WHY BLUE WATERS unit (GPU) processors, and new techniques to train billion-neutron multilayer artificial neural networks (NN). In computer vi-Optimizing the network performance using hyperparameter sion, deeply connected neural networks (DNN) and convolutional scans requires retraining NNs hundreds or thousands of times, neural networks (CNN) have provided dramatic improvements in which is especially challenging for memory-intensive networks performance and speed with respect to conventional algorithms such as GoogLeNet or ResNet. The 4,228 GPU-enabled XK nodes and require minimal engineering. with 25 TB of GPU accelerator memory available on Blue Wa-The research team employed DNNs and CNNs to distinguish what has previously been achieved, allowing for detailed investigations of their behavior for both particle physics and general applications.

ters enable training and optimization of neural networks beyond among signals from electrons and photons and hadronic backgrounds and measure particle energies. The team simulated samples of individual electron, photon, charged hadron, and neutral hadron images in a simple high-granularity calorimeter detector **PUBLICATIONS & DATA SETS** implemented with the Geant4 simulation toolkit. These images B. Hooberman *et al.*, "Calorimetry with deep learning: particle were used to train NNs, using PyTorch, that distinguish between electrons vs. charged hadrons and photons vs. neutral hadrons and classification, energy regression, and simulation for high-energy physics," Proc. Deep Learning for Physical Sciences Workshop at to measure the energies of the four particle species. To optimize the network architectures, the scientists varied the NN hyperthe 31st Conf. on Neural Information Process, Long Beach, CA, U.S.A., Dec. 8, 2017. [Online.] Available: https://dl4physicalsciencparameters, including the number of NN layers (depth), number es.github.io/files/nips_dlps_2017_15.pdf of neurons per layer (width), and the learning and dropout rates.

RESULTS & IMPACT

The research team evaluated the performance of DNNs and CNNs trained on particle images and compared the results to the current state-of-the-art algorithms widely used in particle physics. These algorithms employ NNs and boosted decision trees (BDTs) to analyze a precomputed set of particle features such as the calorimeter shower depth and width. For both classification and energy measurement using regression, the team found that the deep NNs provided significant improvements compared to





Figure 2: The relative energy resolution of four types of particles vs. the true particle energy for a (dashed line) simple linear fit to the total calorimeter energies vs. a (solid line) convolutional neural network.