EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This exploratory Blue Waters proposal provided the computing resources to four graduate students, funded by the NCSA Materials & Manufacturing (M&M) group, to explore how their research can be furthered through the use of advanced digital technology to address large-scale problem solving. Two of the four research activities conducted via the allocation are reported below.

The first project, titled “Simulation of Reference Point Indentation on Cortical Bone,” was conducted by Ashraf Idkaidek. He used two different instruments that utilize the Reference Point Indentation technique—BioDent and Osteoprobe. The second project—“Mechanics of Materials with a Focus on Accelerated Design and Structure-Processing-Property Relations of Materials via High-Scale Computations”—was conducted by Feresteh A. Sabet. In this work, he investigated and compared the performance of implicit and explicit solvers for trabecular (inner layer) bone using Abaqus.

Project 1—Simulation of Reference Point Indentation on Cortical Bone

RESEARCH CHALLENGE

Osteoporosis is a bone disease responsible for two million broken bones and $19 billion per year in related costs in the United States, alone. By 2025, osteoporosis is expected to be responsible for three million fractures and $25.3 billion in related costs per year, according to the U.S. National Osteoporosis Foundation. Assessing the relationship between bone fracture resistance and bone material properties is important for the diagnosis and treatment of bone diseases. Using traditional material testing approaches such as compression, tension, or three- and four-point bending to measure bone mechanical properties is ex vivo and destructive.

METHODS & CODES

Cortical bone forms the outer hard shell of the whole bone. Therefore, understanding cortical bone fracture behavior is essential to evaluate whole-bone fracture resistance. The Reference Point Indentation (RPI) technique was invented to allow in vivo evaluation of bone properties. There are two instruments that use the RPI technique: BioDent and Osteoprobe. BioDent applies multiple indents at the same location on cortical bone, whereas Osteoprobe applies only one loading cycle at multiple neighboring locations on cortical bone. The relationship between RPI and bone properties has not been developed and is still an open topic.

In our research, we are focused on numerically relating both BioDent and Osteoprobe RPI instrument outputs to actual bone material mechanical properties. The cortical bone RPI simulation problem (using the commercial Abaqus software) is highly nonlinear where geometric nonlinearity, material nonlinearity, and contacts are needed to be accounted for to preserve the accuracy of the simulation results.

RESULTS & IMPACT

We have related each of the 10 outputs of the BioDent RPI instrument to bone material properties by using the finite element method [1]. We have also evaluated the simulation of bone fracture using the extended finite element method on a single-osteon cortical bone sample [2,3]. Further, we are currently developing a study to relate Osteoprobe RPI output to bone material properties and fracture resistance.

WHY BLUE WATERS

Completing this study is fully dependent on the numerical finite element method. The problem is highly nonlinear, and multiple iterations are needed to relate Osteoprobe device output to different bone mechanical properties. Each of the Osteoprobe RPI simulation iterations demands high computational power and time. Therefore, completing such a study using multicore Blue Waters clusters is essential.

PUBLICATIONS & DATA SETS


Figure 1: Comparison of apparent response resulting from implicit and explicit solvers (Project 1).

Figure 2: Comparison of contact pressures obtained using implicit and explicit solvers (Project 2).