Magnetorotational Core-Collapse Supernovae in Three Dimensions

Philipp Mösta

California Institute of Technology pmoesta@tapir.caltech.edu

Sherwood Richers, Christian Ott, Roland Haas, Anthony L. Piro, Ernazar Abdikamalov, Christian Reisswig, Erik Schnetter and Peter Diener

Astrophysical Journal, 785, L29

BlueWaters Symposium 2014, NCSA, Illinois



Core Collapse Basics



Nuclear equation of state (EOS) stiffens at nuclear density.

Inner core (~0.5 M_{Sun}) -> protoneutron star core. Shock wave formed.

Outer core accretes onto shock & protoneutron star with O(1) M_{\odot}/s .

-> Shock stalls at ~100 km, must be "revived" to drive explosion.



Hyperenergetic Supernovae

- Small fraction (~0.1%) of CCSN:
- hyperenergetic
- doppler-broadened lines (Type Ic-bl)
- Relativistic outflows
- Some connected to long gamma-ray bursts



Supernova 1998bw Image Credit: ESO



Hypernovae & GRBs



- 11 long GRB core-collapse supernova associations.
- All GRB-SNe are of type "Ic-bl": no H, He in spectra, relativistic velocities (bl: "broad lines"), hypernova energies (~10⁵² erg).
- Neutrino mechanism is inefficient (η~10%); can't deliver a hypernova.
- What mechanism drives these extreme explosions?

Magnetorotational Mechanism



[LeBlanc & Wilson '70, Bisnovatyi-Kogan '70, Obergaulinger+'06, Burrows+ '07, Takiwaki & Kotake '11, Winteler+ 12]

Rapid Rotation + B-field amplification

(need magnetorotational instability [MRI]; difficult to resolve, but see, e.g, Obergaulinger+'09)

2D: Energetic bipolar explosions.

Energy in rotation up to 10B.

Results in ms-period proto-magnetar. GRB connection?

Caveats: Need high core spin; only in very few progenitor stars? Magnetic field amplifaction?

Burrows+'07



Computational challenge

Core-collapse supernovae pose a multi-scale, multi-dimensional, multi-physics problem:

- General Relativity, magnetohydrodynamics, nuclear equation of state, neutrino transport, neutrino/nuclear interactions
- turbulence (e.g. MRI) on scales 10³ cm but radius of relevant stellar interior is 10⁹ cm
- Courant-limited timestep is 10⁻⁶ s but cooling time of protoneutron star is 10 s
- 3 spatial, 3 momentum (neutrinos) space dimensions
 + 1 time dimension
- Need full 3D (turbulence, instabilities)



P. Moesta @ BlueWaters Symposium, 2014/05/13

New 3D Simulations

- Open-source simulation code based on Einstein Toolkit (einsteintoolkit.org) [Moesta+'14].
- Full 3D general relativity (GR).
- Ideal GR magneto-hydrodynamics with detailed nuclear equation of state (LS220) and neutrino heating/cooling via Leakage scheme [O'Connor+'10, Ott+'12].
- div B = 0 via constrained transport.
- 9 levels of adaptive mesh refinement.
 6 TB runtime memory.
 500 TB simulation output.
- Simulation on ~20k compute cores on NSF Blue Waters at NCSA/Illinois.









3D Dynamics of Magnetorotational Explosions

New, full 3D GR simulations. **Mösta+ 2014**, ApJ 759, L24 Initial configuration as in Takiwaki+11, 10¹² G seed field.







t = -3.00 ms	t = -3.00 ms
locolor IYDROBASE-entropy - 10.00	Pseudocolor Var: HYDROBASEentropy - 10.00
- 8250	- 8.250
- 6.500	- 6.500
- 4.750	- 4.750
– 3.000 4.135 1.187	- 3.000 Max: 4.135 Min: 1.187

Octant Symmetry (no odd modes)

Full 3D



What's going on here?



Growth rate, wavelength and helicity of fastest growing mode consistent with MHD kink instability; should hold independent of initial B-field strength

 $\approx 5\,\mathrm{km}$

 $4\pi aB_z$



MHD Kink Instability

3D: Plasma flow unstable to MHD "kink" instability (as seen in laboratories in Tokamak fusion reactors!)

Key for instability: $B_{tor}/B_z > 2\pi a/L$

[Shafranov+'56, Kruskal+'58]

$$\nabla(p + \frac{B^2}{8\pi}) = \frac{1}{4\pi}(B \cdot \nabla)B$$

- Magnetic pressure driven
- cannot be countered by magnetic tension

Braithwaite+'06

3D Volume Visualization of

t = -3.00 ms



Entropy

Mösta et al. 2014

3D Volume Visualization of

t = -4.95 ms



$$\beta = \frac{P_{\text{gas}}}{P_{\text{mag}}}$$

Mösta et al. 2014

Connection to Observations



Cassiopeia A Supernova Remnant Image Credit: NASA.

 $Y_e \sim 0.1 - 0.2$ $s \sim 10 - 15 \text{ k}_{\text{b}} \text{baryon}^{-1}$ $\beta \sim 0.01 - 0.1$ underdense

- Highly magnetized outflows show plausible conditions for creation of neutron-rich heavy elements, possibly rprocess.
- May explain observed asymmetries in SNR also for rotating progenitors (recent NuStar observations).
- Explosion?



Implications for Gamma-Ray Bursts

- Long gamma-ray bursts come with extreme supernovae.
- Central engine of GRB: black hole or neutron star?
- Simulations show: continued accretion on the equator in supernova phase.
- Favors formation of black-hole engine (collapsar).



Supernova remnant W49B; harboring a black hole? (Lopez+2013)



Summary

- We are using BlueWaters for full 3D corecollapse supernova simulations
- Developing jet becomes 'kink'-unstable and is disrupted
- Highly magnetized outflows drive shock into dual-lobe structure
- Accretion continues -> favors collapsar long gamma-ray burst engine
- Asymmetries may explain off-(jet-)axis ejecta elements for rapidly spinning progenitors (-> NuStar ⁴⁴Ti mapping in CasA)









- Longer simulations
- Tracer particles and nucleosynthesis
- Gravitational waves
- Progenitor parameter dependence
- Full star simulations -> True Petascale challenge







Initial Conditions

- E25 (25M_{sun} ZAMS) progenitor (Heger+'00), stripped-envelope Wolf-Rayet type star
- Strong differential rotation; precollapse spin period 2.25s -> millisecond rotation of protoneutron star

$$\Omega(x,z) = \Omega_0 \frac{x_0^2}{x^2 + x_0^2} \frac{z_0^4}{z^4 + z_0^4}$$



• Strong dipolar magnetic field ($B_0 = 10^{12} \text{ G}$)

Identical to Takiwaki+11 model B12X5 β 0.1



Perturbation Setup

- 1 % amplitude perturbations added 5ms after bounce.
- Perturbations outside protoneutron star-> disentagle multiple instabilities (e.g. low-T/|W|, SASI).
- Unperturbed run -> jet explosion



Standing accretion shock